References

1. Byrom B, Doll H, Muehlhausen W, et al. Measurement equivalence of patient-reported outcome measure response scale types collected using bring your own device compared to paper and a provisioned device: results of a randomized equivalence trial. Value Health. 2018;21(5):581-589. doi:10.1016/j.jval.2017.10.008
2. Newton L, Knight-West O, Eremenco S, et al. Comparability of a provisioned device versus bring your own device for completion of patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures by participants with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): qualitative interview findings. Value Health. 2018;21:S418.
3. Carroll K, Sanderson B, Byrom B, et al. Learnings on bring your own device uptake for eCOA implementation: a tale of two studies. Value Health. 2018;21:S385-S386.
4. Signant Health. Signant Health Supports Trial That Led to FDA's EUA Recommendation for Covid-19 Vaccine, Perhaps the Fastest Phase-to-Phase Vaccine Trial of Its Kind. Published 2021. Accessed June 11, 2021.
5. Byrom B, Gwaltney C, Slagle A, Gnanasakthy A, Muehlhausen W. Measurement equivalence of patient-reported outcome measures migrated to electronic formats: a review of evidence and recommendations for clinical trials and bring your own device. Ther Innov Regul Sci. 2019;53(4):426-430. doi:10.1177/2168479018793369
6. Hudgens S, Newton L, Eremenco S, et al. Comparability of a provisioned device versus bring your own device for completion of patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures by participants with chronic obstructive respiratory disease (COPD): quantitative study findings. Value Health. 2018;21(3):S418.
7. Critical Path Institute ePRO Consortium. Best Practices for Electronic Implementation of Response Scales for Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. Accessed June 22, 2020. https://c-path.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/BestPractices2_Response_Scales.pdf